

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/966,450 | 09/28/2001 | Harry S. Sowden | MCP-0296 | 5283 | |
| 27777 | 7590 04/14/2003 | | | | |
| AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | ON & JOHNSON PLAZA | | WARE, | WARE, TODD | |
| NEW BRUN | SWICK, NJ 08933-7003 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1615 | 11 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003 | 19 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/966,450 | SOWDEN, HARRY S. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Todd D Ware | 1615 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2002. | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi | ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7 and 11-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | ,—————————————————————————————————————— | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3 | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F | r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/966,450

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of information disclosure statements filed 2-11-02, 4-18-02, 3-20-02, and 2-3-03, declaration and request for extension of time (granted) filed 2-19-02, formal drawings filed 5-10-02 and election filed 12-11-02 is acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-7 and 11-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kato et al (5,672,364; hereafter '364).
- 4. '364 discloses a tablet manufacturing apparatus that molds substrates comprising multiple molding chambers, multiple nozzles aligned with molding chambers where the nozzles are mounted on a rotor and engage and disengage the molding chambers (abstract; figures 1-3G; C 3, L 64-C 5, L 65).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,450 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al (5,672,364; hereafter '364) in view of Gutierrez et al (6,276,917; hereafter '917).
- 8. '364 teaches a tablet manufacturing apparatus that molds substrates comprising multiple molding chambers, multiple nozzles aligned with molding chambers where the nozzles are mounted on a rotor and engage and disengage the molding chambers. '364 does not teach heating a reservoir that supplies materials (abstract; figures 1-3G; C 3, L 64-C 5, L 65)..
- 9. '917 teaches heating a reservoir chamber to aid in compression of tablets (C 4, L 1-47).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,450

Art Unit: 1615

- 10. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to heat a reservoir chamber in '364 with the motivation of increasing cohesiveness of the tablets.
- 11. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al (5,672,364; hereafter '364) in combination with Gutierrez et al (6,276,917; hereafter '917) and further in combination with Honda et al (5,429,484; hereafter '484).
- 12. '364 and '917 are relied upon for all that they teach as stated previously. Neither reference teaches having a valve in a flow path between a reservoir and nozzles where material is sucked back from the nozzles upon closing.
- 13. '484 is relied upon for teaching a valve in a flow path from a reservoir where a liquid is sucked back upon closing of the valve.
- 14. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above references with the motivation of controlling the flow of material in '364.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd D Ware whose telephone number is (703) 305-1700. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/966,450 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

tw April 7, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600